1	NO: XO6-UWY-CV18-6046436S: SUPERIOR COURT ERICA LAFFERTY, ET AL: COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET	
2	V. AT WATERBURY ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL: MARCH 16, 2022	
3		
4	NO: X06-UWY-CV18-6046437S: SUPERIOR COURT WILLIAM SHERLACH: COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET AT WATERBURY	
5	ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL: MARCH 16, 2022	
6	NO: X06-UWY-CV18-6046438S: SUPERIOR COURT WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL: COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET	
7	V. AT WATERBURY ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL: MARCH 16, 2022	
8		
9		
LO	BEFORE THE HONORABLE BARBARA N. BELLIS, JUDGE	
L1		
L2	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
L3	Representing the Plaintiffs:	
L 4	KOSKOFF, KOSKOFF & BIEDER Attorney Alinor Sterling, Esq.	
15	350 Fairfield Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06604	
L 6 L 7	Representing Defendant Alex Jones: PATTIS & SMITH, LLC.	
L 8	Attorney Zachary Riland, Esq. 383 Orange Stret, 1st Floor	
L 9	New Haven, CT 06511	
20	Representing Defendant Genesis Communications Network, Inc.:	
21	BRIGNOLE, BUSH & LEWIS Attorney Mario Cerame, Esq.	
22	73 Wadsworth Street Hartford, CT 06106	
23		
24	RECORDED AND TRANSCRIBED BY: Linda Coon	
25	Court Monitor/Court Reporter 400 Grand Street	
26	Waterbury, CT 06702	
27		

1 THE COURT: Again, this is Judge Bellis. We are 2 on the record in the Lafferty v. Jones matter. Lead 3 docket number Waterbury CV18-6046436. 4 And starting with plaintiff's counsel, if you could identify yourselves for the record? 5 6 ATTY. STERLING: Good morning, Your Honor. 7 Alinor Sterling for the plaintiffs. 8 THE COURT: Good morning. 9 And for the Jones defendants? 10 ATTY. RILAND: Good morning, Your Honor. 11 Attorney Zachary Riland from Pattis and Smith for the 12 Jones' defendants. 13 THE COURT: Good morning. 14 And for Genesis? 15 ATTY. CERAME: Good morning, Your Honor. 16 This is Attorney Mario Cerame of Brignole, Bush 17 & Lewis for Genesis Communication Network 18 Incorporated. 19 THE COURT: Good morning. 20 Are we waiting on any co-counsel or are we good 21 to go? 22 Good to go? 23 ATTY. RILAND: Good for go. 24 ATTY. CERAME: Good to good go. 25 ATTY. STERLING: Good to go. 26 THE COURT: Great. 27 Okay. So, I'll start with Attorney Cerame

first, which I sometimes do so that I don't neglect him.

And I see that you do have a motion to withdraw appearance that was filed, so am I correct in assuming that you would like the hearing date for that, counsel?

ATTY. CERAME: That's correct. And, normally, I'm supposed to schedule it with the clerk but I thought given our present procedures, it would be best to schedule it with the court. And I will also say, as a sidebar, that there have been -- since I filed the motion, there have been attempts to rectify the issue that is the genesis of the motion.

THE COURT: All right. So, you could always, you know, mark it off or withdraw the motion if at the time of the hearing it's no longer in effect.

ATTY. CERAME: I also want to note, I don't want to be the boy who cried wolf and doing this again.

So, I'm trying to make arrangements such that this will never be necessary again. And I apologize for taking the courts time for something that may not be necessary.

THE COURT: That's -- no worries. So, shall I put it down, then, for next status conference which is April 20th?

ATTY. CERAME: Yes, Your Honor. I think that's -- sorry.

THE COURT: No problem.

And if it turns out that it's not necessary, you know, you will just not pursue the motion and that's fine.

The only thing I would say is that when you serve the motion and that hearing date, just make sure that you are also servicing that indicates when the trial date is because I just looked at it very quickly, I'm sure that your client does know when the trial date is, everyone knows when the trial date is, but just to make sure, in-writing, that if you do pursue the motion, that it's clear that the case is scheduled for trial on that, your client is aware of that.

Okay. So, besides that motion, is there anything else that you've filed since our last status conference that either is ready to be adjudicated, Attorney Cerame, or needs a briefing schedule?

ATTY. CERAME: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

So, I'll turn to Attorney Riland.

Anything that the Jones' defendants, sir, have filed that is either ready to be adjudicated or needs a briefing schedule?

ATTY. RILAND: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And, by the way, I do know we had the motion to seal, and I wanted to do this first

and then we'll go to the motion to seal.

And, Attorney Sterling, turning to you.

Is there anything that the plaintiffs have filed that is either ready to be adjudicated today or needs a briefing schedule?

ATTY. STERLING: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, the only thing,
then, that is -- we are up to entry number 725. The
only item that's been filed to date that needs to be
adjudicated is the motion for permission to withdraw
appearance. So, as we go forward, it will just be
new filings. I just want to make sure we continue
with that clear instruction that if it's not
identified at the status conference, it's waived, but
it seems like we are totally on top of things so that
is good.

All right. So, we have the motions to seal in all three files. And let me just pull it up.

So, give me one moment, please?

All right. So, in the lead case that I cited, the docket number, it's motion to seal number 690, and I will just state for the record that all three cases were properly noticed. I checked on the judicial branch web site, so notice is proper. There is no one present in my courtroom. I'm here in Waterbury today. But I will ask, in case anyone is listening in or otherwise aware, are there any

members of the public that wish to be heard on the motions to seal?

Hearing nothing, we can proceed.

So, Attorney Sterling, this is your motion to

seal.

ATTY. STERLING: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And --

ATTY. STERLING: It's just filed pursuant to the protective order because there were some supporting materials for this motion that were claimed as confidential by the Jones' defendants. So, it's our position that there isn't anything that requires sealing but -- and that the standard for sealing is not met given the height of that standard, and really the, you know, it would be on the Jones defendants to carry that burden.

THE COURT: All right. And I neglected to state for the record that the defendants filed a memorandum in support of the motion to seal.

Let me just pull up that entry number, at number 700. That was filed on February 24th. And as I'm understanding it, Attorney Riland, I think that the only item at issue is found in Exhibit D; is that the only thing that the Jones' defendants are pursuing that they are looking to have sealed?

ATTY. RILAND: Your Honor, I must apologize to the court. I'm at a bit of a disadvantage. As the

1 court knows, we are currently in the midst of, and 2 undergoing depositions right now. This is Attorney 3 Pattis' motion to seal, and I'm not prepared to speak on it at this time. 4 THE COURT: That's not a problem. That's not a 5 6 problem. We can carry it over to -- I found notice 7 and I've inquired as to whether any members of the 8 public wish to be heard, so Mr. Ferraro, if you could 9 write-on, for our next status conference, the motion 10 to seal again, and if you can put it in the Info 1 11 note so that I don't forget, that would be great, and 12 we could address it at that time. Okay. That's not 13 a problem. 14 ATTY. RILAND: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else that 16 we need to deal with today? 17 ATTY. CERAME: I don't believe so, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. That was a short one. 19 ATTY. STERLING: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Stay well everyone, and I will see 21 you next month if not before then. 22 ATTY. RILAND: You as well. Thank you, Judge. 23 ATTY. STERLING: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 Thank you, Your Honor. 25 Thank you, Mr. Ferraro.

2627

r		
1	NO: XO6-UWY-CV18-6046436S:	SUPERIOR COURT
2	ERICA LAFFERTY, ET AL:	COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
3	V.	AT WATERBURY
4	ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL:	MARCH 16, 2022
5		
6	NO: X06-UWY-CV18-6046437S:	SUPERIOR COURT
7	WILLIAM SHERLACH:	COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
8	V.	AT WATERBURY
9	ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL:	MARCH 16, 2022
10		
11	NO: X06-UWY-CV18-6046438S:	SUPERIOR COURT
12	WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL:	COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
13	V.	AT WATERBURY
14	ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL:	MARCH 16, 2022
15	CERTII	F I C A T E
16	I, Linda A. Coon, here	by certify that this is a true
17	and accurate transcription of	f the above-referenced case,
18	heard in Superior Court, Judi	icial District of Waterbury,
19	Connecticut, before the Honor	rable Barbara N. Bellis, on this
20	16th day of March, 2022.	
21		
22	Dated this 30th day of	March, 2022, in Waterbury,
23	Connecticut.	
24		
25	-	
26		Linda A. Coon
27		Court Monitor/ Court Reporter

1	NO: XO6-UWY-CV18-6046436S: SUPERIOR COURT	
2	ERICA LAFFERTY, ET AL: COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET V. AT WATERBURY ALEY EMPLE JONES ET AL: MARCH 16 2022	
3	ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL: MARCH 16, 2022	
4	NO: X06-UWY-CV18-6046437S: SUPERIOR COURT WILLIAM SHERLACH: COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET V. AT WATERBURY	
5	ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL: MARCH 16, 2022	
6	NO: X06-UWY-CV18-6046438S: SUPERIOR COURT WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL: COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET	
7	V. AT WATERBURY ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL: MARCH 16, 2022	
8	THEN EMICE CONDO, HI MIL. PRINCIP TO, 2022	
9	ELECTRONIC CERTIFICATE	
10		
11	I, Linda A. Coon, hereby certify that this is a true	
12	and accurate electronic version of the above-referenced	
13	case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of	
14	Waterbury, Connecticut, before the Honorable Barbara N.	
15	Bellis, on this 16th day of March, 2022.	
16		
17		
18	Dated this 30th day of March, 2022, in Waterbury,	
19	Connecticut.	
20		
21		
22		
23	Linda A. Coon	
24	Court Monitor/ Court Reporter	
25		
26		
27		